198. A Short Stereoselective Total Synthesis of Racemic Patchouli Alcohol

Preliminary Communication

by **Ferdinand Naif** and **Giinther Ohloff**

Firmenich SA, Research Laboratory, 1211 Geneva 8

(19. VIII. 74)

Summary. A stereoselective four-step synthesis of racemic patchouli alcohol starting from the known 2,6,6-trimethyl-2,4-cyclohexadien-1-one [1] and 3-methylpent-4-en-1-ol [2] is described.

Patchouli alcoliol **(1)** is the major component of patchouli oil, an important raw material for the perfume industry. The structure of patchouli alcohol (1) has been definitely established by X-ray analysis of the corresponding chromate ester $\lceil 3 \rceil$.

There already exist three fairly long syntheses $(4)-6$ of patchouli alcohol. We here present a short route leading stereoselectively and in only four steps to racemic patchouli alcohol1), involving an intramolecular *Diels-Alder* reaction as a key step.

Our synthesis starts from 2,6,6-trimethyl-2,4-cyclohexadien-1-one **(2)** 11 which upon reaction with 3-methylpent-4-enyl-lithium (11) yielded a \sim 1:1-mixture (\sim 59%)

based on **2)** oi the diastereoisomcric dienols **3a** and **3b.** Of these isomers only **3a** possesses the correct configuration. Both alcoliols **3a** and **3b** are very labile towards acid and decompose even on silica gel. The $60-MHz-NMR$, spectrum (in CDCl₃) of the bulb distilled (at $80-91^{\circ}/0.03$ Torr) diastereomeric mixture $3a/3b$ (ratio \sim 1:1)

¹) A way to the optically purc $(+)$ - and $(-)$ -enantiomers will be described in the full paper [7].

was in good accord with the structure given (sec-CH₃ at δ 0.95 ppm, *d*, *J* \sim 5.5 Hz; two tert-CH₃ at δ 1.0 ppm, s; =C-CH₃ at δ 1.81 ppm; two gem vinyl protons at δ 4.75 and 4.98 ppm; four olefinic protons at δ 5.2–6.0 ppm, superimposed complex *m*).

When a degassed solution of these alcohols **(3a/3b,** 1:l ratio) in decalin was heated in the presence of *5%* potassium t-butoxide for 24 h at 280" in a sealed glass tube, a stereoselective intramolecular *Diels-Alder* reaction took place to give the racemic, tricyclic alcohol **4a** (yield \sim 30% based on **3a** + **3b**; or \sim 60% based on **3a**) which was isolated in pure form by preparative gas chromatography $\langle 5\% \rangle$ silicon 5 mm \times 2.5 m column; 190°). Apart from **4a** several, more volatile, unknown byproducts were also formed.

Significantly, in the absence of t-butoxide, a catalyst which proved decisive for the success of this approach, only the undesired aromatized compound **5** was obtained.

The effect of potassium *t*-butoxide is not yet sufficiently understood. It might merely act as a stabilizer and prevent elimination of water from alcohol **3.** Alternatively, this particular *Diels-Alder* reaction may also be *catalyzed via* homoconjugation of the oxyanion with the diene system.

The structure of **4a** was established by NMR. (90-MHz, in CDCl₃: sec-CH₃ at 6 oxyanion with the diene system.
The structure of **4a** was established by NMR. (90-MHz, in CDCl₃: sec-CH₃ a
 δ 0.82 ppm, *d*, *J* ~ 6.5 Hz; a tert-CH₃ at δ 0.91 ppm, *s*; two tert-CH₃ δ 1.18, *s*; =CH at δ 0.82 ppm, *d,* $J \sim 6.5$ Hz; a tert-CH₃ at δ 0.91 ppm, *s*; two tert-CH₃ δ 1.18, *s*; =CH- at δ 5.81 ppm, *d,* $J \sim 8$ Hz, with fine splitting; =CH- at δ 6.35 ppm, $d \times d$, $J \sim 8$ Hz, at δ 5.81 ppm, d , $J \sim 8$ Hz, with fine splitting; =CH- at δ 6.35 ppm, $d \times d$, $J \sim 8$ Hz, $J' \sim 7$ Hz) and by direct comparison (retention time on GC., IR., NMR. and MS.) with an authentic sample of the $(-)$ -enantiomer of **4a** [4]².

Predilection of the Diels-Alder reaction for the desired alcohol **4a** is readily understood by comparing the two transition states $3a^*$ (leading to $4a$) and $3b^*$ (leading to **4b).** A severe 1,3-diaxial methyl-methyl interaction is present in **3b*,** operating against formation of **4b.**

The racemic 3-methyl-4-pentenyl side chain was prepared from crotyl bromide by way of *Grignard* reaction with ethylene oxide. Crotylmagnesium bromide is known to react with electropliilic substrates preferentially at the secondary carbon IS] and was therefore expected to give the branched 3-methyl-4-pentenol **(8)** rather than the

²) We are indebted to Professor G. Büchi, M.I.T. Cambridge, USA, for a sample of alcohol $4a$.

linear 4-hexenol(9). **A** *3:* 1 mixture of **8** and **9** was indeed obtained in 90% yield, and the desired isomer **8** was easily separated by fractional distillation on a *Fischer* column (type MS 300, \sim 40 plates). This preparation of alcohol 8 was much easier than the one described earlier [2]. Bromination of alcohol **8** using PBr, and pyridine gave in 68y0 yield bromide **10** which on reaction with lithium (containing 1.5% Na) in ether at -8° led to the organolithium reagent 11.

Hydrogenation of the tricyclic alcohol **4a** as described by *Buchi* & *Erickson* [4a] gave racemic patchouli alcohol whose retention time on GC. (5% silicon 5 mm \times 2.5 m column and spectra (90-MHz-NMR., IR. and MS.) were identical with those of natural patchouli alcohol.

 $E\nphi\omega =$ Although the plan of this synthesis is straightforward and can be visualized without the aid of a computer3), it has never been carried out before. A computer may well be able to find many dazzling potential solutions but it often fails to take account of the inherent difficulties *(viz Diels-Alder* step **p.** 1869, and ref. [lo]). Until computers are 'smarter' and less expensive, a chemist with some intuition, perseverance and luck would still seem to have a role. Nevertheless, we would not wish to discourage those who think travelling hopefully with a computer is better than arriving.

We are indebted to Mr. *Philippe Lung* and Miss *Therese Manz* for skilful technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] *D. Y. Curtin & A. R. Stein, Organic Synthesis 46, 115 (1966).*
- [2] a) 0. *P. Vig, K. L. Matta* & Z. *Ihj,* J. Indian chcm. SOC. *47,* 752 (1964); b) *L.* **Zi.** *Montgomery, J. W. Matt* & *J. i?. Webster,* J. Amer. chem. SOC. *89,* 923 (1967).
- 131 *M. Doblev, J. D. Dunitz, B. Gubler, H. P. Webzr, G. Biichi* & *J. Padilla* 0, Roc. chem. SOC. *1963,* 383.
- [4] a) *G. Biichi, R. E. Erickson* & *N. Wakabayashi,* J. Amcr. chcm. SOC. 83,927 (1961); b) G. *Biichi, W. D. MacLeod, Jr.* & *J. Padilla 0, ibad. 86,* 4438 (1964).
- [S] S. *Danishefsky* & *D. Dumas,* Chcm. Commun. *1968,* 1287.
- [6] X. **A'.** *Mirrington* & *K. J. Schmalzl,* J. org. Chcmistry 37, 2871 (1972).
- [7] To be published in due course.
- 181 *H. Felkiiz* & *G. Roussi,* Tetrahedron Letters *7965,* 4153; see also *M. Andrac, F. Gaudemar, M. Gaudemar, B. Gross, L. Miginiac, Ph. Miginiac* & *Ch. Prkvost,* Bull. SOC. chim. Francc *1963,* 1385.
- [9] *E. J. Covey* & *W. 2'. Wipke,* Science *166,* **178** (1969).
- [lo] *E. W. ColzJin, S. Malchenko, R. A. Raphael* & *J. S. Roberts,* J. chem. SOC. Perkin I, *1973,* 1989.

³⁾ For a computer analysis of patchouli alcohol see [9].